

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8355 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-725 Year-2024 Thana- RAJIVNAGAR District- Patna

Ujjawal Kumar Singh @ Ujjawal Kumar Son of Rajesh Kumar Singh Village-
Madhubanpuri, Gandhi Nagar, Road no.1, P.O- Ashiyana Nagar, P.S- Rajeev
Nagar, District- Patna (Address in FIR Wrongly mentioned as Nepali Nagar,
Rajeev Nagar, ps- Rajeev Nagar, Dist- patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jeetendra Narayan

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shailendra Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 15-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

2. Petitioner apprehends arrest in a case registered for
the offence punishable under section 103(1) of the Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 27.11.2024 at
around 6:00 PM informant received a call from the petitioner
that her daughter has committed suicide by hanging and told her
that she can get information from Rajiv Nagar Road No.21.
When informant again wanted to contact the petitioner, he
switched off his mobile phone. Thereafter, informant gave
information to Rajiv Nagar Police Station and she along with
her relatives reached Rajiv Nagar Road No.21. She found her
daughter hanging with fan. After verifying from the landlord of



the said house, she learnt that her daughter (deceased) and the petitioner, after performing marriage were living since two months. Informant further states that on 26.11.2024 she last talked her daughter on phone when she was nervous. Informant believes that the petitioner has hanged her daughter after killing her.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioner has neither solemnized marriage with the deceased nor he was dwelling with the deceased. It is next submitted that the petitioner was away from Patna for the last 10 days from the date of occurrence. Learned counsel submits that save and except suspicion, there is no other direct or indirect evidence against the petitioner to show his complicity in the occurrence. Petitioner claims clean antecedent.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail. He submits that the petitioner is named in the FIR with specific allegation that the petitioner mounted pressure on the daughter of the informant as a result of which she committed suicide. From CDR investigation, it has been revealed that in between 22.3.2024 to 26.11.2024, total 1989 times phone calls



had been made by the petitioner to the phone of the deceased.
Post mortem report opines that the death was caused due to
asphyxia due to hanging.

6. Considering the nature and gravity of allegation,
prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

