

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA  
CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION No.96 of 2022**

=====

Ravi Ranjan Son of Sri Sahdeo Mahto Resident of Village - Khawa, Post -  
Kiranpur, Police Station - Medni Chowki, District - Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

Ashika Wife of Ravi Ranjan, Daughter of Amrendra Kumar, Resident of  
Mohalla - Mayur Chowk, Bekapur Police Station - Kotwali, District- Munger.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Ranjan  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Nirala

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. B. PD. SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      19-08-2025                      1. The present miscellaneous application has been preferred to quash the order dated 17.12.2021 passed in Maintenance Case No. 85/20149 by the Principal Judge, Family Court, Munger, whereby the prayer of interim maintenance prayed by the respondent wife has been allowed and the petitioner has been directed to pay interim maintenance of Rs. 5,000/- per month from the date of application and cost of litigation as Rs. 500/-.

2. Heard both the parties.

3. During course of argument, learned lawyer for the petitioner fairly admits that the respondent/wife is legally married wife of petitioner namely, Ravi Ranjan, but she is leaving adulterous life with someone and hence she is not entitled to get any amount of interim maintenance. From perusal



of impugned order dated 17.12.2021, it appears that the minor son has been born with the conjugal marital life of petitioner and the respondent and an interim maintenance of Rs. 5,000/- has been allowed for both of them and Rs. 500/- litigation cost per date is also allowed. From perusal of impugned order it appears that the petitioner is a clerk in G.S.I and getting Rs. 50,000/- per month and petitioner is not capable to maintain himself and minor child. The order of only meager amount of Rs. 5,000/- is allowed for interim maintenance for minor son and the wife both. Question of adulterous life of wife is yet to be determined by the court. So, at this stage, interference is not required in the aforesaid order.

4. In view thereof, the present application stands dismissed.

**(S. B. Pd. Singh, J)**

Ranjeet/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

