

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5170 of 2024

Sanju Devi wife of Ranjit Kumar, Resident of Village- Mohalla Nashriganj,
P.S. Danapur, District Patna, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, District- Patna.
3. The Deputy Development Commissioner Cum-Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, District- Patna, Bihar.
4. The District Panchayati Raj Officer, District - Patna.
5. The Zila Parishad, District - Patna through its Chief Executive Officer.
6. Kumari Stuti, Wife of Ravimndra Kumar, resident of village - 80 Kandap, Sampatchak, P.S. - Gaurichak, District- Patna, Adhyaksh Zila Parishad, District- Patna, Patna.
7. The UP-Adyaskha, Zila Parishad, District- Patna, Patna, namely Asha Devi, wife of not known, resident of Village and P.O.- Anandpur, P.S. - Bihta District- Patna, Bihar - 801103.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shantam Shivam, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Vivek Prasad, Government Pleader 7 Mr Sudhanshu Bhushan, AC to GP-7

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 25-02-2025

Heard the parties.

2. The petition has been filed for the following

reliefs:-

*“ 01. To issue an appropriate writ,
order. direction in the nature of mandamus
restraining in the respondent no. 3 not to call
for the special meeting of Zila Parishad, Patna
for discussing no confidence motion against the
Adhyaksh proposed to be called for being based
on a fraudulent and forged requisition dated*



15-1-2024.

(ii) To hold and declare that the requisition dated 15-1-2004 is fraudulent for being based on forged signatures.

(iii) To issue an appropriate writ, order, direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the authorities to not hold any special meeting of the Zila Parishad. Patna for discussing no confidence motion against the UP-Adhyksha.

(iv) To any other reliefs for which the petitioner appears to be found entitled by the Hon'ble Court.”

3. In this case on 08.07.2024, four weeks peremptory time was granted to the petitioner to remove the defect(s).

4. As it was a peremptory order and was not complied with, it stands dismissed on 05.08.2024.

5. Perused the file, consigned.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

perwez

U			
---	--	--	--

