

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 2683 of 2025

=====

Kaminee Kumari Wife of Chandu Kumar Resident of Village and P.O.-
Darsur Tole Math, Ward No.- 02, P.S.- Warishnagar, District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector-cum- District Magistrate, Samastipur.
3. The District Programme Officer, Samastipur.
4. The Child Development Project Officer, Warishnagar, District- Samastipur.
5. Sangeeta Kumari, Wife of Mithilesh Paswan Resident of Village and P.O.-
Darsur Tole Math, P.S.- Warishnagar, District- Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr Surya Narayan Roy, Advocate
For the S t a t e	:	Mr Raghwanand, GA XI
		Mr Sanjay Kr Tiwari, AC to GA XI

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH CHANDEL

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 15-02-2025

The petitioner has preferred this petition challenging the order dated 03.01.225 passed by the Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Samastipur in Anganwari Appeal No 8 of 2024 and order dated 11.01.2024 passe by the District Programme Officer, Samastipur in Anganwari Case No 254 of 2018 whereby the claim of the petitioner for her appointment has been rejected.

2 The petitioner has applied for the post of Anganwari Sevika on 08.01.2018. There were five candidates. Respondent No 5 Sangeeta Kumari was selected. Her selection has been



challenged by the petitioner before the District Programme Officer by filing Anganwari Case No 254 of 218 alleging therein that the selection of Respondent No 5 was made on the basis of forged education certificate issued by NIOS because in the marks sheet, matriculation certificate and the provisional certificate, registration number differs in each other but contention of the petitioner was rejected which has been challenged by her by filing Anganwari Appal No 428 of 2021. The matter was remanded to the District Programme Officer for rehearing the case on the point of education certificate issued by the NIOS. The District Programme Officer, Samastipur, after hearing both the parties and calling for the report from the NIOS, arrived on the conclusion that the certificate submitted by respondent No 5 is correct and valid and dismissed the petition filed by the petitioner which was again challenged by the petitioner by filing Anganwari Appeal No 8 of 2024 which has also been dismissed. Hence, this petition.

3 It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the selection of respondent No 5 on the post of Anganwari Sevika is based on the forged and fabricated certificates and without examining the genuineness and truthfulness of the same, the impugned orders have been passed by the respondent-authorities.



4 Perusal of the impugned orders dated 11.01.2024 and 03.01.2025 (Annexure P/4 and P/5) clearly shows that the certificates produced by respondent No 5 were duly verified from the issuing authority, i e, NIOS and the issuing authority categorically certified that both the documents were issued by it and both are the correct and genuine documents. Taking into consideration the above report submitted by the issuing authority, both the above orders have been passed. The petitioner is unable to point out any other material which shows that the documents submitted by respondent No 5 are the forged documents.

5 Since both the orders, as referred hereinabove, are reasoned orders based on the enquiry reports submitted by the issuing authority, I do not find any merit in this petition.

6 Accordingly, the petition is dismissed at the admission stage itself, having no merit.

(Arvind Singh Chandel, J)

M.E.H./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	18.02.2025
Transmission Date	NA

