

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7966 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-97 Year-2024 Thana- Patarghat District- Saharsa

Raben Yadav Son of Late Garib Yadav Resident of Village - Bishanpur, Ward
No.- 4, Police Station - Patarghat, District - Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vivekanand Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Renu Kumari, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 12-05-2025 Heard Mr. Vivekanand Singh, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Ms. Renu Kumari, learned APP for the State.
Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Patarghat P.S. Case No. 97 of 2024 instituted for the offences
under Sections 120B, 302, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that the brother of the
informant was done to death by the FIR named accused persons
and other unknown persons.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that
the petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case.
Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that no specific
overt act is alleged against the petitioner. Learned counsel



further submitted that there is no eye-witness to the occurrence, the informant is also not an eye-witness. The co-accused person has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 05-03-2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 6144 of 2025. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 10.09.2024 and has one criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. Learned APP further submitted that from perusal of the case diary, it appears that several witnesses have supported the case of the prosecution and therefore, the involvement of the petitioner in the commission of murder of the deceased cannot be denied and, therefore, learned APP prays that the petitioner may not be released on bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, no cogent material against the petitioner as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Patarghat P.S. Case



No. 97 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

