

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.397 of 2025

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.454 of 2025

-
1. Madan Kapri Son of Late Ram Prasad Kapri, Resident of Village- Maratkar, P.S.-Pajwara, District- Banka, Pin-813110.
 2. Ujjwal Kumar @ Dablu Kapri Son of Late Jai Prakash Kapri @ Prakash Kapri, Resident of Village- Maratkar, P.S.- Pajwara, District- Banka, Pin813110.
 3. Uttam Kumar Kapri, Son of Late Jai Prakash Kapri @ Prakash Kapri, Resident of Village- Maratkar, P.S.- Pajwara, District- Banka, Pin-813110.
 4. Brajesh Kumar Son of Surendra Kapri Resident of Village- Maratkar, P.S. - Pajwara, District- Banka, Pin-813110.
 5. Rajesh Kumar Son of Shiv Shankar Kapri, Resident of Village Maratkar, P.S.-Pajwara, District- Banka, Pin-813110.
 6. Avdesh Kumar Son of Shankar Kapri Resident of Village- Maratkar, P.S.Pajwara, District- Banka, Pin-813110.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Land Reforms and Revenue Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sree Dilip Kumar Singh Son of not Known, the Additional Chief Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Smt. Preeti Tongria Daughter/wife of not Known the Additional Chief Secretary, Land Reforms and Revenue Department Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Sri Anshul Kumar Son of not Known the District Magistrate, Banka.
5. Sri Ravi Prakash Gautam Son of not Known the District Panchayat Raj Officer, Banka.
6. Smt. Bandana Singa son of not Known, the Deputy Commissioner Land Reforms, Banka.
7. Sri Vikash Son of not Known the Circle Officer, Barahat, Banka.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rakesh Kumar Ranjan
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, GP-14

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 07-03-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the



learned GP-14, Shri. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad.

2. The learned GP-14 submits that in compliance of the order dated 21-1-2025 passed in CWJC No. 454 of 2025, the Collector has disposed of the representation of the petitioner by his order dated 22-2-2025 holding that construction of Panchayat Sarkar Bhawan is not being made on the land of the petitioners, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that though the Collector has disposed of the representation of the petitioners holding that construction of Panchayat Sarkar Bhawan is not being constructed on the land of the petitioners, but still the authorities are proceeding with construction of the Panchayat Sarkar Bhawan on the land of the petitioners.

3. At this stage, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that if the petitioners are really aggrieved by the fact that Panchayat Sarkar Bhawan is being constructed on their land, in that event, they have remedy available in law, but then the purpose for which the instant contempt application was filed, does not survive.

4. Considering the submission made by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State, the Court does not feel persuaded to proceed with the contempt any further, accordingly



the instant contempt application is dismissed.

5. However, the dismissal of instant application would not denude the petitioners from challenging the order of the Collector, if they are aggrieved by the order of the Collector.

6. The show-cause filed by the Collector is taken on record.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

SUMIT/-

U			
---	--	--	--

