

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.453 of 2025

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9303 of 2024

=====

Kumari Neelam, Wife of Shashi Shekhar Thakur, Resident of Bhup Narayan Singh Colony Ward No.21, P.S- Madhubani, District- Madhubani, Bihar- 847211.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna (Mr. Amrit Lal Meena).
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna (Mr. S. Siddharth).
3. The District Education Officer, Madhubani, Bihar (Mr. Jawad Alam).
4. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Madhubani, Bihar (Mr. Jawad Alam).
5. The District Programme Officer, Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan, Bihar Education Project, Jagal Lal Mishra Complex, Rahika Road, Sapta, Madhubani- 847214 (Mr. Shubham Kasaudhan).
6. The Block Education Officer, Block- Rahika, Madhubani, Bihar (Mr. Yogendra Kumar).
7. The Principal, Watson Middle School, Madhubani, Bihar- 847211 (Mrs. Meera Kumari).
8. The Secretary, School Education Committee, Watson Middle School, Madhubani- 847211 (Vinita Devi).
9. Shri Yogendra Kumar, Block Education Officer, Block- Rahika, Madhubani, Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gaurav Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Singh, AAG- 13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

3 04-07-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present application has been filed for initiation of contempt proceeding against the concerned opposite parties for their willful and deliberate disobedience of the order dated



29.10.2024, passed in C.W.J.C. No. 9303 of 2024 wherein this Court had directed as follows:

“6. In that view of the matter, this Court deems it apt and proper to consider the claim of the petitioner afresh by conducting an enquiry to the matter as to whether the amount has been paid to the bona fide Vendor(s) against the work done or the goods supplied by them. In case it is found that the payment has been made against the work done by the Vendor(s) or the goods supplied by him/them, in such circumstances the irregularity, if any, may be condoned and the withheld amount of Rs. 60,245/- be paid to the petitioner. The entire exercise must be completed, preferably within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.”

3. A show-cause affidavit came to be filed on behalf of opposite party no.4. It is categorically averred that in compliance with the order of this Court, an enquiry was conducted and after considering the claim of the petitioner final order, as contained in Memo No. 1318 dated 16.04.2025, came to be passed by the District Education Officer, Madhubani.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that the order aforementioned is not in compliance with the order of this Court in its letter and spirit.



5. Be that as it may, considering the fact that the order has already been passed by the District Education Officer, Madhubani, the contempt petition stands disposed off with liberty to the petitioner to assail the same, if so advised.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

U			
---	--	--	--

