

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 16378 of 2016**

=====

Bharat Prasad Son of Late Sri Binda Lal, Resident of Sankat Mochan Nagar, P.S.- Nawada, Near New Police Line, Ara, District- Bhojpur at present resident of At & P.O. Bihia, District- Bhojpur.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Human Resource Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna.
4. The Director, Human Resource Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna, Secondary Education, New Secretariat, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Veer Kunwar Singh University through its Registrar.
6. The Vice- Chancellor, Veer Kunwar Singh University, Ara.
7. The Registrar, Veer Kunwar Singh University, Ara.
8. The Financial Advisor, Veer Kunwar Singh University, Ara.
9. The Finance Officer, Veer Kunwar Singh University, Ara.

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.  
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN**  
**AMANULLAH**

ORAL ORDER

3      29-01-2018                      The case was heard for over 10 minutes and the Court had taken assistance from the State and when learned counsel for the petitioner was called upon, he prays for time. The Court rejects such conduct outright, for chance cannot be taken with the Court, and if a request is made belatedly, the same is definitely not *bona fide*. If time is to be asked, it is at the very first instance that learned counsel is required to seek such indulgence and not



after the case has been heard for some time and learned counsel for the State and Veer Kunwar Singh University having assisted the Court on the merits of the matter.

2. At this juncture, the Court gave an opportunity to learned counsel for the petitioner to assist. The offer was declined.

3. Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed for non prosecution.

**(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)**

P. Kumar

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

