

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9788 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-22 Year-2024 Thana- RAXAUL District- East Champaran

Baidhnath Pandey S/O Late Nathuni Pandey Resident of village-Harnahi,
ward no 13, P.S.- Raxaul, District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jagdhar Prasad , APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 19-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State .

2. Petitioner apprehends arrest in a case registered for
the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 379, 467, 468,
471, 385, 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code .

3 . As per the prosecution case , on the alleged date
and time of the occurrence, all the F.I.R. named accused persons
including this petitiones, variously armed, came and tried to
take possession over the land of informant. It is further alleged
that the accused persons also threatened informant, demanded
Rs. 20 lacs, as *Rangdari*, and also took away wooden gate ,
cylinder, oven , wood of Sakhuwa from the field of informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
there is land dispute between one Parmatma Pandey and Sona



Devi, wife of co-accused Late Birendra Rai and both of them are claiming right, title and possession over the land in question , for which, a simple *Maarpeet* took place between the parties. There is case and counter case . Allegation against the petitioner is general and omnibus.

5 . Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R., and has got 3 criminal antecedent of similar nature .

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, nature of accusation and criminal antecedent of petitioner, prayer for pre – arrest bail is hereby rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Koushik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

