

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2654 of 2025

Pushpa Devi Wife of Sohan Sah, Resident of Ward No. 29, village - Dumaria
Bhatta, P.S - Kishanganj, Block - Kishanganj, District- Kishanganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Principal Secretary, Food and Consumer Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Food and Consumer Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Kishanganj.
4. The Assistant District Supply Officer, Kishanganj.
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Sadar, Kishanganj.
6. The Block Development Officer, Block - Sadar, Kishanganj.
7. The Block Supply Officer, Block- Sadar, Kishanganj.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Radha Mohan Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Addl. Advocate General (04)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY
ORAL ORDER

3 22-07-2025 Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

2. This writ petition has been filed for seeking the
following relief(s)

“i. to issue appropriate rule/rules, direction/directions, writ/ writs in the nature of Certiorari and be pleased to quash the Memo No. 405 dated 16.07.2024 issued under the signature of the Sub-Divisional Officer, Sadar, Kishanganj (Respondent No. 5) whereby the license of the PDS shop of the petitioner bearing License No. 15KT/2022 has been suspended with immediate effect.
ii. and consequent upon the quashing of the said order a direction/directions be given to the respondents to restored the license of the Petitioner's Public Distribution Shop bearing License No. 15KT/2022.
iii. And any other relief or reliefs for which the petitioner be found entitled in law be granted to him.”

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner has stated that in the Show Cause Notice issued by the Sub-Divisional Officer there is no proposal for cancellation of the license. Learned counsel has stated that non-mentioning of the proposal for cancelling her license is contrary to the provisions of order 27(ii) of the Bihar Targeted Public Distribution System (Control) Order, 2016 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Order, 2016').

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied on the judgment of the full Bench passed in CWJC No.21202 of 2021 and analogous cases dated 26.09.2023 to buttress his contention that non-mentioning of the proposed action in the show-cause notice is bad in law and contrary to Rule 27(ii) of the Control Order, 2016.

5. Learned counsel has stated in view of the above mentioned provisions of law and judgment relied by the petitioner, the impugned order may be set aside and matter remanded back to the authorities concerned for issuing a fresh show-cause notice in terms of order 27(ii) of the Control Order, 2016 and thereafter take necessary action.

6. *Per contra*, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents has vehemently opposed the very maintainability of the writ petition and stated that the present



writ petition is not maintainable as the petitioner has an alternative and efficacious remedy of filing an appeal under the provisions of the Control Order, 2016. Learned counsel has therefore prayed this Hon'ble Court to dismiss the present writ petition.

7. This Hon'ble Court in CWJC No.21202 of 2021 and analogous cases has held as under:

“19. Accordingly, we answer the reference as under:-

It is mandatory for a licensing authority issuing a notice under order 27(ii) to a license to mention that there is a proposal for cancellation of his license, failing which such notice cannot be treated to be a valid notice of giving sufficient opportunity to the licensee to state his case under order 27(ii) of the BTPDS Control Order. ”

8. A perusal of the show-cause notice issued to the petitioner does not reveal that there is any proposal of the action sought to be taken against the petitioner. Therefore, the same has to held as bad, illegal, contrary to the provisions of Rule 27(ii) of the Control Order and has to necessarily set aside.

9. Having regard to the above facts and circumstances and the law laid down by this Hon'ble Court, the present CWJC is allowed. The impugned order passed by the Sub-Divisional Officer, Sadar Kishanganj dated 16.07.2024 is set aside. The



matter is remanded back to the Sub-divisional Officer for issuing a fresh show-cause notice to the petitioner strictly in compliance with the provisions of Rule 27(ii) of the Control Order, 2016 and call for her explanation by giving him reasonable time.

10. On such show-cause notice being served, the petitioner shall file her explanation within the stipulated time. On receipt of the explanation submitted by the petitioner the authority concerned shall pass a reasoned order strictly in accordance with law duly taking into consideration the explanation submitted by the petitioner.

11. It is needless to mention that before passing any orders, the petitioner shall be given an opportunity of hearing. The entire exercise shall be completed as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Any order passed shall be communicated to the petitioner.

12. With the above directions, this Writ Petition is allowed to the extent indicated above.

(A. Abhishek Reddy, J)

Ayush/-

U			
---	--	--	--

