

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8193 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-242 Year-2024 Thana- GAURICHAK District- Patna

1. Putush Kumar @ Pitush Kumar S/o- Sri Ganesh Paswan, Resident of Mahmada, Jaithiya, P.S.- Gaurichak, District-Patna.
2. Raj Kumar S/o- Sri Sanjay Paswan, Resident of Mahmada, Jaithiya, P.S.- Gaurichak, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sushil Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ravindra Kumar APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehends their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 307, 427 and 436 of the Indian Penal Code and under Section 27 of Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that petitioners along with ten other accused entered the house of informant on 18.05.2024, thereafter accused fired ten rounds and even assaulted his father by *dabi* causing injury on head and hand and burned goods worth Rs. 1,50,000/- (Rupees



one lakh and fifty thousand only).

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioners submits that from perusal of allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the informant does not disclose the reason for the occurrence. He further submits that daughter of Krishna Paswan had eloped with son of Ganesh Paswan namely, Putush and Putush is petitioner no. 1 on account of which a dispute had arisen in which both sides assaulted each other. It is also submitted that from side of the petitioners Gaurichak P.S. Case No. 243 of 2024 dated 19.05.2024 had been instituted against the side of informant and others. It is next submitted that this perhaps explains why the informant did not disclose the reason for the occurrence in the FIR.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, Ravindra Kumar opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial Court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties of the like



amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court, where
the case is pending / successor Court, in connection with
Gaurichak P.S. Case No. 242 of 2024, subject to the conditions
as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

