

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8143 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-197 Year-2024 Thana- BAIKUNTHPUR District- Gopalganj

Jai Prakash Pandey Son of Udhaw Jee Pandey R/O Village - Magru Chhapra,
P.S.- Baikunthpur, District - Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-03-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 379 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of two cases but then both the cases were filed by the father of the present informant. It is further submitted that petitioner and the informant are own cousin and they stay in the same house and are having dispute relating to property. It is submitted that the informant alleges that on 17.06.2024, in the morning, the accused persons including the petitioner surrounded him and thereafter Awadh Pandey assaulted with knife indiscriminately causing injury on his head and eye. It is further alleged that Prakesh Pandey and Jay Prakesh Pandey also assaulted the informant with farsa causing injury but then it is submitted that



from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that though the petitioner is alleged to have assaulted with farsa but then the FIR does not disclose that on which part of the body the petitioner assaulted the informant with farsa. It is submitted that petitioner is a student and is doing his B.Sc. Nursing from ITM University, Gwalior. It is also submitted that on account of dispute relating to property cases have been instituted by both the sides against each other but then petitioner is not a criminal and his entire career would be jeopardized if he is sent to judicial custody.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Baikunthpur P.S. Case No. 197 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

