

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15816 of 2016

1. Shambhu Kumar Singh
2. Sunil Kumar Singh both sons of late Mahendra Prasad Singh both residents of village - Warishpur Patti, P.O. - Warishpur, P.S. - Bhaganpur, Dist - Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The District Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur.
3. The Competent Officer Cum District Land Acquisition Officer, Vaishali at Hajipur.
4. Satish Kumar Singh S/o Late Mahendra Prasad Singh resident of village - Warishpur Patti, P.O. - Warishpur, P.S. - Bhaganpur, Dist - Vaishali.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mahendra Thakur, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, GP14

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 04-08-2025 Heard Mr. Mahendra Thakur, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad learned GP14 , for the State

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for :

i. For issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated.27.6.2016, passed by the District Land Acquisition Officer, Vaishali at Hajipur (Respondent No- 3), in Case No-10/14-15, filed by the petitioners.

ii. For issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing and commanding to the responding authority to



recover the 2/3 share of award of Rs 984175.00, which has been given to the Respondent No-4, by the order of Respondent No- 3,on 16.7.2011, and the same amount pay to the petitioners.

iii. For any other appropriate writ/writs, Order/ Orders, Direction/Directions, as this Hon'ble Court thinks fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of this case.

3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that they may be allowed to approach the arbitrator for the redressal of the grievance.

4. State has no objection to it.

5. Granting said liberty, the writ petition is disposed of.

6. If any petition is preferred within four weeks, the authority shall see to it that matter was pending before this Court for nine years while disposing of the limitation petition.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Raj Ranjan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

