

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8067 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-40 Year-2024 Thana- MANJHAUL District- Begusarai

Dinesh Yadav @ Dinesh Prasad Yadav Son of Gango Yadav, Resident of
Village- Manjhaul, PS- Manjhaul, District- Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bijay Bhushan Prasad, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Choubey Jawahar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 115, 324(2-6), 109, 329(4) and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of one case and informant alleges that on 02.07.2024, accused persons namely, Dinesh, Naresh and Babban tied their cattle on his land and on objection, an altercation took place thereafter, Vikesh, Ankit, Abhishek and Niraj came and ordered to assault, on which Dinesh assaulted the informant by iron-rod causing injury on head thereafter, Naresh assaulted by bamboo causing swelling on hand, further, Vikesh, Ankit and Abhishek assaulted by fist and leg and Dinesh and Naresh along with Babban looted spade, *khurpi* etc. and



also damaged the mirror of the tractor.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that on account of dispute relating to land, the occurrence is alleged to have taken place in which the entire family members have been implicated with some allegation. It is next submitted that as far as this petitioner is concerned, he is alleged to have assaulted by rod causing injury on head, but then the injury suffered by the informant is simple in nature and the blow was not repeated, which amply demonstrates that petitioner never had any intention of committing serious occurrence.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial Court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court, where the case is pending / successor Court, in connection with



Manjhaul P.S. Case No. 40 of 2024, subject to the conditions
as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

