

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12384 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-347 Year-2023 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE - SIKRAHANA
District- East Champaran

-
1. Meena Devi W/o Shyamnarayan Sah Resident of village- Harnaraina, P.S.-
Sikarganj District- East Champaran
 2. Prabhawati Devi W/o Ramakant Sah Resident of village- Harnaraina, P.S.-
Sikarganj District- East Champaran
 3. Shyamlal Sah S/o Late Punit Sah Resident of village- Harnaraina, P.S.-
Sikarganj District- East Champaran
 4. Ramakant Sah S/o Late Punit Sah Resident of village- Harnaraina, P.S.-
Sikarganj District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Kamal Sah S/o Punit Sah Resident of village- Harnaraina, P.S.- Sikarganj
District- East Champaran

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyam Nandan Sinha

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 28-03-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 420,
467,468,471,34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that
petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and petitioner
no.1and 2 are women and the informant alleges that after the
death of his father, he purchased land in the name of his mother



as his brothers were minor, further he lives in Orissa, it is next alleged that when he came from Orissa he came to know that his brothers have transferred the land in the name of their wives by forging the signature of their mother.

4. The learned counsel submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that petitioner no.3 and 4 are own brothers of the informant and petitioner no.1 and 2 are wives of petitioner no.3 and 4 respectively. It is further submitted that the land was in the name of the mother and the mother executed sale deed in favour of the petitioner no.1 and 2. It is further submitted that the instant criminal case has been instituted only to coerce the petitioners into submission. It is next submitted that had the sale deed with respect to the land been obtained fraudulently in that event the informant would have instituted a case before a court of competent civil jurisdiction for getting the sale deed cancelled but instead of resorting to remedy available in law, the instant criminal case came to be instituted with a view to coerce the petitioners into submission. It is also submitted that the case now has been compromised as would manifest from Annexure-2 series to the anticipatory bail application.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for



anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Complaint Case No.347/2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

