

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8069 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-48 Year-2022 Thana- MAHKAR District- Gaya

1. Jitendra Prasad Son of Devnandan Prasad, R/O-Village - Kewari, Tola-Murarpur, P.S.- Mahkar, District - Gaya
2. Nalim Prasad @ Nalin Kumar @ Lalin Prasad Son of Shrikant Prasad R/O-Village - Kewari, Tola- Murarpur, P.S.- Mahkar, District - Gaya
3. Arun Yadav @ Arun Kumar Son of Ramchandra Prasad R/O-Village - Shamnagar, P.S.- Mehkar, District - Gaya

... .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Durgesh Nandan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 149, 323, 308, 447, 379, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that he was constructing his house when Jitendra came and assaulted his brother Niranjana by an iron-rod causing injury on head, thereafter the family members of Jitendra including the petitioners came and assaulted his family member along with



his father causing injury on shoulder.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case on account of dispute relating to land. It is next submitted that though it is alleged against Jitendra that he assaulted Niranjana by an iron-rod causing injury on head, but then the injury suffered by the injured is simple in nature, which amply demonstrates that Jitendra never had any intention of committing serious occurrence. It is next submitted that as far as allegation of assaulting the family member and father of informant is concerned, the allegation is not specific. It is lastly submitted that petitioners are not criminals.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial Court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court, where the case is pending / successor Court, in connection with



Mahkar P.S. Case No. 48 of 2022, subject to the conditions as
laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

