

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10563 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-232 Year-2024 Thana- JALALPUR District- Saran

=====

Amit Kumar Mishra @ Amit Mishra S/o- Late Ajay Mishra, R/o Village-
Mishrawaliya Kanhi, PS- Jalalpur, Dist- Saran at Chapra.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dewendra Narayan Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 14-02-2025 Heard Mr. Dewendra Narayan Singh, the learned

counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Anil Kumar, the learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in

connection with Jalalpur PS Case No. 232 of 2024, FIR dated

06.10.2024, registered for the offences punishable under

Sections 30(a), 33, 34, 36, 41(2)(1) of the Bihar Prohibition and

Excise Act.

3. Recovery is of 106 litres of foreign liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that

petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case on the

basis of disclosure made by co-accused person namely, Sanjay

Mishra. He further submits that according to the FIR and seizure

list, nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession



of the petitioner, rather recovery has been made from the bamboo orchard, which is situated in front of the house of co-accused Sumit Mishra and petitioner has no concern at all with the alleged recovery and except the aforesaid no other cogent material has come during investigation which suggests the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. There is non-compliance with mandatory procedure prescribed for recovery under Section 100 of Cr.P.C. / Section 103 of BNSS, 2023. No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioner under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State on the other hand has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable. He further submits that petitioner carries two criminal antecedents of similar nature, however, he fairly submits that petitioner is on bail in the pending matters.

6. This Court is aware of the decision of the full Bench in the case of *Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar* reported in **2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on



behalf of the parties, this Court, for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of counsel for the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and mainly the facts that nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and name of petitioner transpired on the basis of disclosure made by co-accused person, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial Court within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned 2nd Exclusive Special Excise Judge, Saran at Chapra, where the case is pending in connection with **Jalalpur PS Case No. 232 of 2024**, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. / Section 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023 and also the following conditions:-

(i). Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the learned trial Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the learned trial Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall



be cancelled by the learned trial Court.

(ii). If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iii). And further condition that the learned trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case, at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the learned trial Court shall take steps for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

