

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20018 of 2016

Quazi Arshad Son of Late Quazi Sabbir, resident of village Post - Puranaganj,
P.S. Biasi, District - Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Baisi, District - Purnea
3. The Circle Officer, Baisi, District Purnea
4. The Revenue Karamchari, Mauza, Puranaganj, P.S. Biasi, District - Purnea
5. The Officer - in - Charge, Biasi, P.S. Biasi, District - Purnea
6. Bibi Albela Khatoon, Wife of Anrar Alam, D/o of Jahangir, R/o village Post - Puranaganj, P.S. Biasi, District - Purnea

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Jitendra Kumar Giri, Advocate
	:	Mr. Manish Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, GP-14

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 25-08-2025 Heard Mr. Manish Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned counsel representing the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“for issuance of a writ in the
nature of 'Mandamus' whereby and where
under commanding and directing the State
respondent to issue rent receipt in favour of
the petitioner and his parentage with regard
to the lands appertaining to Khata No.154
and Corresponding to Khesra No.820, 822,
824, 825 measuring total area of land 21
decimal falling within Mauza-Puranganj,*



Thana No.457, P.S.-Biasi, District-Purnea.

*And further prayer of the petitioner is for
issuance of a writ in the nature of
'Prohibition' restraining the private
respondent no.6 to make illegal
encroachment over the lands as stated
hereinabove."*

3. The counter affidavit has come on behalf of the respondent no. 1 to 5 negating the claim of the petitioner.

4. In that background, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be allowed to approach the Collector, Purnea (respondent no.1) by filing an appropriate petition.

5. That liberty is always there, the petitioner may approach the concerned respondent in next four weeks who shall be taking the matter to its logical conclusion at an earliest.

6. The writ petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

