

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18513 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-389 Year-2021 Thana- PATLIPUTRA District- Patna

The Manager of Ms. Vijeta Projects and Infrastructure Projects Sharavan Yadav @ Sharavan Kumar Son of Late Rameshewar Prasad Yadav Resident of Sector 26, Flat No. B 238, Noida Gautam Buddha Nagar, Uttar Pradesh

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Ajay Kumar Mishra Son of Sidheshwar Nath Mishra Resident of Indrathakala, P.S. - Bikramganj, District - Rohtas

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Mani Bhushan Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Rabindra Kumar, APP
	Mr. Gopal Govind Mishra, Adv

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 13-05-2025 **1.** Heard learned counsel for the petitioner learned A.P.P. for the State, Shri Rabindra Kumar and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the OP No. 2, Shri Gopal Govind Mishra.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Patliputra P.S. Case No. 389 of 2021 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 341, 323, 379 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that similarly situated co-accused Pancham Singh has been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 13-5-2025 in Cr. Misc No. 13629 of



2025, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the OP No. 2 submits that Pancham Singh was granted granted the privilege of anticipatory bail in peculiar facts of the case. It is further submitted by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the OP No. 2 that petitioner is named accused in the FIR.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the OP No. 2 next submits that the offences for which the instant FIR has been instituted, carries punishment of seven years and less, the said submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the OP No. 2 is not disputed by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner.

4. At this stage, the learned APP submits that the case be disposed of in terms of the order dated 13-2-2024 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 3536 of 2024 (Naushad Ansari vs. the State of Bihar).

5. In view of the submission made by the learned APP, the anticipatory bail application is disposed of in terms of the order dated 13-2-2024 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 3536 of 2024 (Naushad Ansari vs. the State of Bihar).

6. The petitioner would be at liberty to file a representation before the concerned Superintendent of Police and the Investigating Officer of the case, within a period of three weeks from today, with a web copy of the order dated 13-



2-2024 in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 3536 of 2024 (Naushad Ansari vs. the state of Bihar); and the concerned Superintendent of Police shall ensure that the Investigating Officer of the case strictly adheres to the directions contained in the order dated 13-2-2024 in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 3536 of 2024 (Naushad Ansari vs. the State of Bihar).

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

SUMIT/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

