

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10016 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-780 Year-2022 Thana- BEGUSARAI COMPLAINT CASE
District- Begusarai

=====

Sri Ram Pandit @ Shri Ram Pandit, S/o Ghuran Pandit R/o Village- Pahsara Babhangama, Ward No 11, Shiv Mandir Tola, PS- Naokothi, District- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ritam Kumari W/o Sri Ram Pandit, D/o Ram Pravesh Pandit R/o vill - Vrindawan, P.S. - Matihani, Distt.- Begusarai

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sandip Kumar Gautam, Advocate.
For the State	:	Ms. Gulnar Begum, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 07-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, apprehending his arrest, in connection with Complaint Case No. 780(C) of 2022 dated 18.5.2022 filed for the offences punishable under Sections 307, 498(A), 376, 511, 325 and 406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, but cognizance has been taken only under Section 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per allegation, subsequent to marriage, there was additional demand of dowry and on account of non-fulfillment of the same, the complainant/wife has been subjected to harassment by the husband/petitioner and his family members



and ultimately, she along with her minor children were ousted from the matrimonial home and at present she is living at the *Maikey*.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioner/husband wants to keep his wife but complainant/wife is not willing to live with him and she has left the matrimonial home on her own. He also submits that the maximum punishment prescribed for the alleged offence is three years.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

7. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **this petition is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, on his



furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Begusarai, in connection with Complaint Case No. 780(C) of 2022 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.PC and on the following conditions:

(i) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedents, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(ii) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

