

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15464 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-256 Year-2022 Thana- DULHIN BAZAR District- Patna

=====

Rajnish Kumar Son of Shri Vinod Singh R/O Village - Paipura, Kala, P.S.-
Paliganj, District - Patna, At Present R/O- Ram Dayal Enclave, Block - A,
Flat No.- 501, Saguna More, P.S.- Danapur, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 22-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for offence under Sections 406, 409, 420 of the Indian
Penal Code.

3. As per written report of Mukhiya and Panchayat
Secretary of Selhori-Belhori Panchayat, under a government
scheme, petitioner was paid total Rs. 3,57,500/- for supply of
dustbin, cart, e-Ricksha etc., however; no material was supplied
by the petitioner, despite notice was sent to him.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been made accused in this case, since he refused
to pay 50% commission to informant from this project.
Petitioner has already supplied materials worth Rs. 3,79,873/-
through his company namely Samman Infrastructure & Service



Pvt. Ltd. (Tax invoice is annexed, as Annexure P/2 to the bail petition).

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that he embezzled the government money. There is no material to show that this petitioner supplied aforesaid items to the Gram Panchayat.

6. Considering the nature of accusation, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

