

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15970 of 2017

=====

Ram Pratap Dwivedi, Son of Late Ram Tapasya Dubey, resident of Village
Pirpainty Bazar, P.S. Pirpainty, District Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Collector, Bhagalpur.
3. The D.C.L.R. Kahalgaon, Dist-Bhagalpur
4. The Anchal Adhikari, Pirpainty, Dist-Bhagalpur
5. Mahesh Dubey @ Tuntun Dubey, son of late Ramakant Dubey, resident of
village-Pirpainty Bazar, P.S. Pirpainty, District-Bhagalpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	None.
For the State	:	None.
For respondent no.5	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Karn, Advocate

=====

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

5 05-05-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though

Mr. Sunil Kumar Karn, representing respondent no. 5 is present.

The State is also unrepresented.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) quashing the order dated 30.6.2017
passed by Shri K.P. Ramaiah, Member
(Administrative) of the Bihar Land Tribunal,
Patna by which he has been pleased to
dismiss without assigning any reason, the
petition bearing M.A. No. 113/2016 for
restoration of the B.L.T. Case No. 87/2013*



arising out of C.W.J.C. No. 6039/2004, which had been dismissed for non-prosecution by the Hon'ble Chairman by the order dt. 28.06.2013 and for restoration of the said M.A. No. 113/2016 for consideration;

(ii) quashing the order dated 28.06.2013 passed by the Hon'ble Chairman, Bihar Land Tribunal, Patna in the above said B.L.T. Case No. 87/2013 arising out of C.W.J.C. No. 6029/2004 and for restoration of the said B.L.T. Case No. 87/2013 arising out of C.W.J.C. No. 6039/2004 for adjudication on merit;

(iii) cost of filing this petition before this Hon'ble Court;

(iv) any other relief or reliefs to which the petitioner may be entitled.

3. From the ordersheet, it seems the petitioner is no more and the coordinate bench granted time repeatedly to file substitution petition.

4. As per the office notes, no substitution petition has been filed.

5. In view of the fact that the sole petitioner is no



more, granting to the heirs to approach this Court afresh, if they
so want, the writ petition is dismissed.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

