

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8150 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-64 Year-2024 Thana- RISIYAP District- Aurangabad

Mukesh Kumar Singh @ Mukesh Singh Son of Naresh Singh, R/o Village-
Karkatta, PS -Risiup, District -Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Birendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 325, 307 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and on account of dispute relating to land, an altercation took place in which it is alleged that Chandan and Mukesh assaulted her husband by iron-rod causing fracture of hand, further when her nephew Raja Kumar came to save him, he was also assaulted by the accused persons by an iron-rod, further the accused also assaulted Kundan and Praveen causing fracture of their hands.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of



petitioner submits that on account of dispute relating to land, the occurrence is alleged to have taken place. It is further submitted that from perusal of the injury report of the injured, it would manifest that all the injured have suffered simple injuries, except Praveen, whose one of the injury is opined to be grievous in nature which is on hand. It is further submitted that from the side of the petitioner also Risiup P.S. Case No. 62 of 2024 has been instituted against the side of the informant. It is further submitted that even allegation of assault is not specific. It is next submitted that petitioner will not abscond, rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence. It is lastly submitted that petitioner is not a criminal.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial Court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court, where the case is pending / successor Court, in connection with **Risiup**



P.S. Case No. 64 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down
under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

