

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15533 of 2017

=====

Ram Narain Singh son of Ram Udar Singh, resident of Village- Manpur
Ratnawali, Police Station- Runni Saidpur, District Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar,
Patna. null null
3. The Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
4. The District Magistrate, Sitamarhi.
5. The Sub Engineer-in- Chief, Bagmati Project, Bihar.
6. The Rehabilitation Officer, Bagmati Project, Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vaidehi Raman Prasad Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Binod Kumar Sinha, AC to GP-7

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 05-05-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) for grant of an appropriate writ for a
direction to the Respondent No.2 to dispose
of the representation of petitioner in
accordance with rehabilitation law which
was filed as per the direction dated
7.01.2016 passed by the Hon'ble High
Court in C.W.J.C.no. 13844/2013, and
make available to the resident of village*



Manpur Ratnawali, who have been displaced from their village on account of extension of Baghmati Bandh, suitable site/land for the rehabilitation;

(ii) for grant of an appropriate writ for a direction to the respondents to pay to the residents of Manpur Ratnawali due compensation for their houses etc, which have been ruined/destroyed on account of construction of Baghmati Bandh;

(iii) for grant of an appropriate writ for a direction to the Respondents to pay to the resident of village Manpur Ramawall Compensation for their Agricultural land, which have become none Arable on account of construction of Baghmati Dandli;

(iv) for grant of an appropriate writ for direction to the respondents to pay monetary assistance to the residents of village-Manpur-Ratnawali, which is payable under Bihar Land Acquisition Re-establishment (Punah Asthapan) and



rehabilitation policy.

3. If the contention of the petitioner is correct and the representation is still pending before the Department, it is high time that decision is taken.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be taking up the matter before the appropriate authority.

5. Granting permission, the writ petition stands disposed of.

6. The respondents are duty bound to take up the matter to its logical end at the earliest.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

