

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.393 of 2025

In
Letters Patent Appeal No.1511 of 2018

=====

Sabita Kumari @ Sabita Ghosh wife of Late Sudhanshu Shekhar Ghosh,
resident of Naya Tola, Bihari (Back of PWD Inspection Bungalow), Police
Station-Jamui, District-Jamui (Bihar), PIN-811307.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Bank of India, Administrative Office, Bhawesh Bhawan Betson Road, Khanjarpur, Bhagalpur-812001 through its Regional Manager, Regional Office, Munger.
2. Union of India through the Secretary, Ministry of Labour, Govt. of India, New Delhi.
3. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Labour Resources Department, Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariat Building, Bailey Road, Patna-800001.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Jitendra Prasad Singh, Sr. Advocate Mrs. Sripriya Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Chitranjan Sinha, Sr. Advocate Mr. Binod Bihari Sinha, Advocate Mr. Amarjeet Chaudhary, Advocate Mr. Ajay Dutt Mishra, Advocate Mr. Hareram Singh, CGC
For the State	:	Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Singh, AAG-13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH)

4 11-09-2025

Re.: I.A.No. 01 of 2025

Heard learned counsel for the applicant.

2. The following statements have been made in the
instant application:

“2. That the Petitioner is the widow of original



appellant-Late Sudhanshu Shekhar Ghosh who preferred LPA No. 1511 of 2018 which was dismissed for default due to non compliance of the order dated 26.02.2020.

3. That after the LPA No. 1511 of 2018 was dismissed, the husband of the Petitioner could not take proper steps for restoration due to Covid-19 pandemic as the order was not communicated to the deceased husband for compliance within time.

4. That after death of the husband, the Petitioner came to know about the dismissal of appeal in the first week of December 2024 and thereafter upon legal advice the present application has been filed for restoration of the LPA No. 1511 of 2018.”

3. Considering the aforesaid statements, the defect(s) as pointed out by the office stands ignored.

4. I.A.No. 01 of 2025 stands disposed of.

Re.: M.J.C. No. 393 of 2025

The present application has been filed for restoration of L.P.A.No. 1511 of 2018, which stood dismissed for non-compliance of the order dated 26.02.2020 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

2. For the reasons stated in the restoration application, the same is allowed.

3. Accordingly, L.P.A.No. 1511 of 2018 is restored to its original file.



3. If so advised, the applicant, being the wife of the original petitioner, shall be at liberty to file an application for her substitution in place of the original writ petitioner/appellant.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Sujit/-

U			
---	--	--	--

