

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18092 of 2017**

=====

Md. Issa Son of Shekh Jholi Resident of Village- Dewka Tola Satasi, P.S.  
Nauhatta, District- Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Secretary, Department of Revenue , Govt of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Koshi Division, Saharsa.
4. The Incharge Officer, Legal, Koshi Division, Saharsa.
5. The District Magistrate, Saharsa.
6. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Saharsa.
7. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Saharsa Sadar.
8. The circle Officer, Nauhatta, Saharsa.
9. Pintu Mukhiya S/o late Yognedra Mukhiya Resident of Village- Dewka, P.S.  
Nauhatta, District Saharsa.
10. Jagdish Yadav
11. Ram Yadav
12. Rajendra Yadav All Sons of Maharaj Yadav Residents of Village- Marbana  
Tola Sharba, P.S. Madhepur, District- Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anant Kumar-1, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Dhurjati Prasad, GP-14

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      16-09-2025                      Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the  
following relief/s:

*(i) for a direction upon the respondents 2nd  
set not to disturb, interfere in peaceful  
possession and in cultivation of the land  
details of which given in Annexure-1 to the  
writ petition as the name is the purchased*



*land of the petitioner and the respondent 2nd set have no concern with the land to question;*

*(ii) for quashing the letter No.2843 dated 19.11.2016 issued by Incharge Officer,*

*Legal, Koshi Division, Saharsa by which the No.1157 dated letter 4.11.2016 of D.C.L.R.*

*Saharsa has been stayed and further directed the Circle Officer, Nauhatta to comply the same;*

*(iii) for grant of any other relief/reliefs of which the petitioner may be found entitled under the facts and circumstances of the case.*

3. Mr. Anant Kumar No.1, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the petition.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

Ravi/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

