

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15610 of 2016

Nazru Khan son of Late Wazir Khan resident of Village - Salaiya, P.O. -
Salaiya, P.S. - Kothi, District - Gaya Bihar. Petitioner/s
Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The Joint Director of Consolidation, Gaya, Bihar.
3. The Consolidation Officer, Imamganj, Gaya, Bihar.
4. Ram Briksh Mistry
5. Ram Krit Mistry 4 & 5 both sons of Late Jagarnath Mistry
6. Sulekhan Mistry
7. Ram Chandra Mistry
8. Dularchand Mistry 6,7 & 8 all sons of Tapan Mistry
9. Karesh Mistry S/o Bhikhu Mistry
10. Karu Mistry S/o Late Suresh Mistry 4 to 16 all residents of Village - Salaiya,
P.O. - Salaiya, P.S. - Kothi, District - Gaya, Bihar.
... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhay Shankar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, GP14

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 04-08-2025 Heard Mr. Abhay Shankar Singh, leaned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr Dhurjati Kumar Prasad learned GP14 for the

State.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for:

*issue a writ in nature of Certiorari
or appropriate writ/orders to quash the order
dated 05.07.2016 of learned Single Judge of
Bihar Land Tribunal, Patna passed in B.L.T
Case No. 869 of 2015 whereby and
whereunder the order of learned Joint
Director Consolidation, Gaya passed in
Revision Case No. 37/2006 dated 02.03.2009
has been set aside arbitrarily, unreasonably
and against the canons of Law. The petitioner
further prays for issuing a writ of Mandamus*



directing the respondent authorities to cancel the names of private respondents from consolidation record of rights and correct the same by entering the name of petitioner in that place for which petitioner is lawfully entitled and make such corresponding corrections in other revenue records also pertaining to land in question.

The petitioner further seeks indulgence of this Hon'ble court to pass such order/orders directing the concerned authorities to ensure that the peaceful continuous possession of the land in question on which house of petitioner is situated, is not disturbed by anyone unless in accordance with law.

3. It has informed by the Abhay Shankar Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner that the sole petitioner is no more and as such his heirs be granted liberty, if they so want.

4. State has no objection to it.

5. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of granting liberty to the heirs to move afresh.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Raj Ranjan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

