

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15573 of 2016

-
1. Pankaj Kumar Singh and Ors
 2. Lav Singh @ Manish Singh
 3. Kush Singh @ Awanish Singh sons of Late Dharamnath Singh All residents of Village- Chirand, P.O. Chirand, P.S. Doriganj, Dist- Saran at Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The Deputy Collector, Land Reform Saran Chapra.
3. The Additional Collector, Saran at Chhapra.
4. The Commissioner, Saran at Chhapra.
5. Smt. Prem Sheela Pandey Wife of Bashisth Pandey, resident of Village- Chirand, P.O. Chirand, P.S. Doriganj, Dist- Saran at Chapra.
6. Shyam Babu son of Ram Naresh Prasad holding power of Attorney of behalf of Laljhari Devi, resident of Village- Lodipur, P.S. Doriganj, District Saran at Chhapra.
7. Ramesh Prasad Yadav, S/O Jaglal Rai.
8. Arjun Prasad Yadav, S/o Jaglal Rai, Both residents of Village- Lodipur, P.S. Doriganj, District Saran at Chhapra.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bal Bhushan Choudhary, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, GP14

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 04-08-2025 Heard Mr. Bal Bhushan Chaudhary, learned counsel

for the petitioner and Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, learned

counsel for the State.

2. The present writ petition is preferred for :

*(i) The Order dated 13.07.15
passed in B.LT Case No. 757 of 2014 by the
learned Chairman B.LT Patna be
quashed/set-aside and the order dated
06.09.07 passed by D.C.L.R. Sadar at*



Chhapra in L.C. Case No. 03 of 2007-08 as well as order dated 18.03.09 passed in L.C. Appeal No. 22/2007 and order dated 18.06.2003 passed in L.C. Revision Case No. 78 of 2012 by the Divisional Commissioner Saran be upheld. (ii) That Respondent No. 5 be directed to comply the order dated 06.09.07 passed by D.C.L.R. Sadar Chhapra in L.C. Case no. 03 of 2007-08 Pankaj Kumar Singh & others Petitioners V/S Prem Shila Pandey & others.... Opposite Parties.

(iii) Any other Relief or Reliefs be granted to the petitioners for which they may be deemed entitled to.

3. Mr. Bal Bhushan Chaudhary learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the change such circumstance/law, he may be permitted to withdraw the petition.

4. Granting said liberty, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Raj Ranjan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

