

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.203 of 2022

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7585 of 2020

=====

Ranjit Kumar S/o Late Ashok Kumar C/O Thakur Prasad at Mohalla Purani Kankarbagh, Gate No. 16, Near College of Commerce, Patna, P.S. - Patrakar Nager, District- Patna, at presently posted as Clerk, in Nalanda Mahila College, Bihar Sharif, Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Mr. Sanjay Kumar Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. R.K. Singh, The Vice Chancellor, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
4. Mr. Dr. Ravi Prakash Babloo, The Registrar Magadh Bodh Gaya.
5. Mr. Satya Ratan Prasad, The Finance Officer, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
6. Mr. R.K. Singh, The Vice Chancellor, Patliputra University, Patna.
7. Mr. Jitendra Kumar, The Registrar, Patliputra University, Patna.
8. Mr. Kaleshwar Sah, the Finance Officer, Patliputra University, Patna.
9. Musharat Jehan, The Principal, Nalanda Mahila College, Bihar Sharif, Nalanda.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anil Kumar No.1, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mrs. Binita Singh, SC-28
For the PPU	:	Mr. Rana Vikram Singh, Adv.
		Mrs. Rasika, Adv.
For the MU	:	Mr. Om Prakash Kumar, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

4 29-08-2025 Heard the parties.

2. In compliance with the order of this Court dated 28.07.2025, a supplementary show-cause affidavit has been filed in Court and the same is taken on record.

3. It has been informed to this Court that in respect to



revised gratuity and leave encashment, consequent upon ACP/MACP, the admissible amount of Rs. 52,983/- and Rs. 11,501/- was calculated and equally paid to four sons of the erstwhile employee, Late Ashok Kumar.

4. Learned Advocate for the University submitted that now the grievance of the petitioner stands redressed and the order of this Court has been complied in its letter and spirit.

5. Learned Advocate for the petitioner further submits that just in a while he has received a show-cause affidavit and the same is required to be looked into.

6. Having considered the submissions set-forth and the averments made in the supplementary show-cause affidavit, this Court does not find any reason or occasion to continue with the proceeding. Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands closed.

7. Suffice it to observe that if there is still any grievance, the petitioner has the remedy to approach before the competent authority.

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/-

U			
---	--	--	--

