

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17957 of 2017

=====

Nirmala Devi Wife of Kamta Prasad Singh @ Kamta Singh, Resident of
Village- Kulhariya, P.S.- Koilwar, District- Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The National Highway Authority
2. The State of Bihar, through the Chief Secretary Govt. of Bihar.
3. The Commissioner, Patna.
4. The Collector, Bhojpur at Ara Cum District Land Acquisition, Officer,
Bhojpur, at Ara.
5. The Addl. Collector, Bhojpur at Ara.
6. The Land Reform District Collector, Bhojpur at Ara.
7. The Sub-Divisional Magistrate cum addl. Land Acquisition Bhojpur at Ara.
8. The Circle Officer, Koilwar Circle, Koilwar, District- Bhojpur.
9. The Circle Inspector, Koilwar Circle, Koilwar, District Bhojpur.
10. The Halka Karamchari, Koilwar Circle, Koilwar, District- Bhojpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Kamlesh Kumar, Advocate
	:	Ms. Kahkashan Alam, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Raj Kishore Roy, GP-18
For the NHAI	:	Mr. Kumar Gautam, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 23-06-2025 Heard Ms. Kahkashan Alam, learned counsel for the

petitioner and learned counsel representing the State as also Mr.

Kumar Gautam, learned counsel representing the NHAI.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*(i) To be declared the quantum of award dated
08.01.2017 passed in L.A. case No. 54/2010-11*



by the Collector cum District Land Acquisition Officer, Bhojpur at Ara (Respondent No. 4) in Land Acquisition proceeding for the widening NH-30 and 84 is illegal, invalid and on the ground of violative of principle of Natural Justice.

(ii) The quantum of award has been passed without following the procedure as laid down in sub-clause 3 of the section 3-G of the National Highway Act 1956.

(iii) To prepare the award after hearing the petitioner accordance with law.

(iv) Any other relief/reliefs be granted to the petitioner as so he is entitled in eye of law.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner shall be satisfied if the competent authority/Court takes notice of the grievance and pass an appropriate order.

4. She has taken this Court to an order of Arun Kumar Sing vs. NHAI (CWJC No. 11405 of 2017) in support of her case.

5. Learned State counsel has no objection to the petitioner approaching the appropriate authority/Court.



6. In that background and taking note of the orders as mentioned by the learned counsel for the petitioner, granting said liberty, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

