

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7182 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-109 Year-2024 Thana- PAUTHU District- Aurangabad

Vikrama @ Vikramaditya Kumar @ Vikrama Kumar @ Vikrama Yadav, aged about 24 years, Gender-Male, son of Bhagwan Yadav, R/O Village- Rampur Parasiya, P.S. -Pauthu, District- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :
For the Petitioner : Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, Sr. Advocate and Mr. Rakesh Singh, Advocate
For the Informant : Mr. Adarsh Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

3 14-05-2025 Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Pauthu P.S. Case No. 109 of 2024 dated 22.10.2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1), 238, 61(2) and 123 of B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the daughter of the informant, namely, Sandhya Devi, was married to Sudhir Yadav in the year 2013. The couple have been blessed with three children. It is alleged that since after marriage, Sandhya Devi



(deceased) was being ill-treated and subjected to cruelty at the hands of her husband and his family members including the petitioner and on 22.10.2024, she alongwith her two children were strangled to death by the accused persons. The accused persons threw the dead bodies in order to conceal the evidence of crime.

4. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. It is further submitted that during the course of investigation, it appeared that the deceased consumed poison and also poisoned her two sons and one daughter. On seeing this, the co-accused Phoolwati Devi, the mother-in-law of the deceased, called her husband Chandeshwar Yadav and took them to Barahi Bazar Private Clinic, out of which, two children were admitted as they were alive whereas the informant's daughter and her son, namely, Saurya Kumar, died so, in haste, they took them and threw the dead body at 'Merwa Fall Bridge'. The petitioner happens to be the neighbour of the deceased and he is the owner of the vehicle in question by which all four persons i.e., the deceased and her three children were taken to hospital. The petitioner only helped



his neighbour for taking the four victims to the hospital by giving his vehicle and it was driven by his driver, namely, Ajit Kumar. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner refers paragraph nos. 58, 60, 61, 67, 68 and 145 of the case diary. He has no concern with the alleged offence. No incriminating article has been recovered from his possession. The petitioner has clean antecedent as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. The petitioner is in custody in this case since 25.10.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail petition of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the informant has further submitted that the petitioner has actively participated in the alleged crime and he threw the dead bodies in 'Merwa Fall Bridge'.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well the period of custody, let the above named petitioner, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M. Aurangabad in connection with Pauthu P.S. Case No. 109 of 2024.



7. The application stands allowed.

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

