

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13400 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-51 Year-2024 Thana- Cyber P.S. District- Muzaffarpur

Surbhi Priya Daughter of Arun Kumar Resident of Choti Pahari, Ward No.56,
P.S. - Agamkuan, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pankaj Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Sevak Choudhary, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 14-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Cyber Case P.S. Case No. 51 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Sections 419, 420, 379 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 66(C) and 66(D) of the Information Technology Act.

3. Earlier vide order dated 21.11.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 73095 of 2024 the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner was rejected.

4. Prosecution case, in a nutshell is that petitioner and other people were contacting informant and his employees in the name of providing employment and a total Rs. 4,30,000/- was transferred from acquaintances to various accounts and they



were duped, whereas later it was found that all those jobs were fake.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner mainly submitted that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 24.07.2024 and there is no significant progress in the trial. Learned counsel further submitted that there is not signification progress in the trial and there is no likelihood of conclusion of trial in near future and therefore, petitioner may be released on bail. It is further submitted that petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. As per the report dated 24.04.2025 sent by the learned court below, charge has been framed against the petitioner on 23.04.2025 and there are six charge-sheeted witnesses and summons have been issued to all the six witnesses. It is further reported that trial is likely to be concluded within a period of six months.

8. Having considered the submissions made on behalf of the parties, this Court finds no fresh ground to reconsider the matter which has already been decided on merit by this Court. Taking into account the present stage of trial, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.



9. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

10. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same preferably within a period of six months from today.

11. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from today. If any such application is filed before the learned court below, the learned Trial Court shall consider the same on its own merit without being prejudiced by this order.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

