

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8473 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-416 Year-2024 Thana- MAKHDUMPUR District- Jehanabad

1. Anil Sharma @ Anil Kumar Son of Nirpat Sharma Resident of Village- Brahmarshi Nagar, P.S.- Makhdumpur, Distt.- Jehanabad
2. Shakuntala Devi Wife of Anil Sharma Resident of Village- Brahmarshi Nagar, P.S.- Makhdumpur, Distt.- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Dilip Kumar No. 1, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-03-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 85, 109, 352, 115(2), 127(2) and 3(5) of the BNS as well as Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that she was married to Raviranjana Kumar in the year 2018 and after marriage, the accused persons started torturing the informant for dowry. Further, on 20.08.2024, accused Nawlesh Sharma, Rishiraj Sharma and Kunti Devi came and started assaulting the informant while the petitioners i.e. her in-



laws were standing at the gate and were not allowing anyone to enter the house. Further, Nawlesh, Rishiraj and Kunti poured kerosene oil on the informant and alighted the fire but her husband doused the fire and thereafter she was taken to the hospital for treatment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners, being the in-laws of the informant, have been falsely implicated in the instant case. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that no overt act has been alleged against the petitioners, though the informant alleges that they were standing at the gate and were not allowing anyone to enter the house. It is next submitted that the said allegation is exaggerated. It is also submitted that no doubt, the informant suffered burn injury but the said burn injury was suffered on account of the fact that her saree caught fire while making food. It is submitted that husband is not an accused in the instant case but then the parents of her husband have been made an accused. The reason is obvious as they are having issues relating to property.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned



counsel for the petitioners, let the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Makhdumpur P.S. Case No. 416 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

