

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2029 of 2025**

Praveen Kumar S/O- Vijoy Kumar Singh, Resident of- Neema, Daudnagar,  
P.S.-Daudnagar, District- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, New Secretariat, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
3. The Director, Higher Education, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
4. The Authorised Officer, Pay Verification Cell, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna having its office at B.S.T.B.P. Corporation, Buddha Marg, Patna.
5. The Magadh University, Bodh Gaya through its Registrar.
6. The Vice Chancellor, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
7. The Registrar, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
8. The Finance Officer, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
9. The Principal, Daudnagar College, Daudnagar, Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                 |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Ritesh Kumar, Advocate      |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr. Prabhat Kumar, AC to SC-5   |
| For the M.U.         | : | Mr. Siddhartha Prasad, Advocate |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      10-02-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the State and learned counsel for the University.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner's case is squarely covered by judgment dated 13.11.2024 passed in C.W.J.C. No.16104 of 2024.

3. In view of the aforesaid, the impugned pay



verification certificate issued vide Receipt No.2607220100627, under the signature of the authorized officer, Pay Verification Cell, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna (Annexure-P/9) is hereby quashed and the matter is remanded back to the State authorities for proceeding afresh in accordance with law.

4. It goes without saying that the petitioner is entitled to receive pay as earlier.

5. Accordingly, this writ application stands disposed of.

**(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)**

Trivedi/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

