

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6971 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-117 Year-2024 Thana- BELCHHI District- Patna

Krishna Kumar @ Vikash Kumar S/o- Mr. Shrawan Kumar Pandey @
Shrawan Pandey @ Vijay Krishna Pandey Village- Gopalpur Ps- Belchhi
Dist- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kr. Thakur, Adv.
Ms. Vaishnavi Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Abhay Kumar, Adv.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 06-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Belchhi P.S. Case No. 117 of 2024 instituted for the offences
under Sections 103(1), 61(2)/3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, the accusation against
the accused persons including the petitioner is of committing
murder of the deceased by firing.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as



alleged against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that the alleged date of occurrence is 13.07.2024 but, the present *fardbeyan* was recorded on 14.07.2024 which creates doubt in the veracity of the prosecution case. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged occurrence. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is languishing in judicial custody since 15.07.2024 without any rhymes or reason. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner, stating that there is specific and direct allegation of firing against the petitioner upon the deceased. Postmortem report supports the prosecution case. The Investigating Officer, after completion of investigation, has submitted charge-sheet against the petitioner for offence under Sections Sections 103(1), 61(2)/317(2)/3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature and gravity of the offence as also there



being direct allegation of firing against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner, above named, is rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

