

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15455 of 2017

Shiv Shankar Prasad Son of Sri Dhramdeo Prasad, resident of Village-
Bakhatoura Police Station Darpa, District East Champaran, Motihari.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Collector-cum-District Magistrate, East Champaran at Motihari.
3. The District Land Acquisition Officer East Champaran, Motihari.
4. The District Nodal Officer, Public Grievances and Redressal Unit East Champaran Motihari.
5. The Circle Officer, Ramgarhawa District East Champaran, Motihari.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mrs. Nutan Sahay, AC to AAG-12

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 05-05-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though
Mrs. Nutan Sahay, learned AC to AAG-12 is present.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“(A) Issue writ of certiorari, for
quashing the order vide memo no. 1314 dated
11.06.2013 (Annexure-5) passed by District Nodal
Officer, Public Grievances and Redressal Unit
(Respondent No.4), Motihari, where under and
whereby claim of the petitioner for compensation
of 80% acquire under land Acquisition Act by State*



be refused as per guide line of State as well as land Acquisition Act.

(B) To issue the writ of Mandamus commanding the Respondent authority to discharge there legal obligation to pay compensation in the in village Manner without following the procedure of law and guide line of the State of Bihar for pay the compensation Against Land Acquisition Act.

(C) To issue the writ of mandamus to directed the respondents Authority to pay compensation amount to the petitioner as per guide line of State and provision of land Acquisition Act, which is essays reference to vide (Annexure-4 to the petition) minimum value of land available in office of Sub Registry Raxaul, East Champaran, Motihari, Department of Registration' State of Bihar.

(D) For proper action against responsible respondent involved in such illegal manner in way of illegal compensation against land Acquisition Act which is ignoring the procedure of law.



*(E) For any relief/reliefs petitioner may
be found entitled to.”*

3. Learned State counsel has taken this Court to the State counter-affidavit to show that the petitioner has accepted the amount and further has not impleaded the National Highway Authority of India, the necessary party as respondents.

4. Be that as it may, since there is no appearance, the present writ petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

