

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6644 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-507 Year-2024 Thana- GAYA MUFASIL District- Gaya

Sharwan Kumar @ Shawan Kumar Chaudhary Son of Anandi Chaudhary @
Anand Chaudhary Village -Bhadeja P.S. -Mufasil Distt. -Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Amitesh Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. This application for grant of anticipatory bail arises out of Muffasil P.S. Case No. 507 of 2024, disclosing offences under Sections 147, 148, 149, 387, 307, 504, 506 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case as per the First Information Report, the allegation against the petitioner is that petitioner along with other accused persons demanded extortion from the informant and thereafter surrounded the house of the informant armed with deadly weapon and started indiscriminate firing.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and their demand of extortion was not fulfilled and no money passed through the hands of the petitioner. The firing has allegedly been made, but no



cartridges have been recovered from the place of occurrence, and from perusal of seizure list, it appears that cartridges have been recovered about 100 gauge away from the place of occurrence. Learned counsel further submits the phone number from which extortion was demanded was not his mobile number.

5. Learned counsel for the State submits that petitioner is specifically named in the FIR and the police has collected six empty cartridges of 12 bore and two empty cartridges of 315 bore from place of occurrence.

6. Regards being had to the submission made on behalf of the parties and taking into consideration the gravity of the offence and the fact that there is specific allegation of firing near the house of the informant and the police has recovered six empty cartridges of 12 bore and two empty cartridges of 315 bore near the place of occurrence, I am not inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. Accordingly, the prayer of petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

Siwani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

