

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18154 of 2017

-
1. Smt. Gayatri Devi and Anr Daughter of Late Hiralal Agrawal, Wife of Sri Umashankar Prasad,
 2. Ramesh Kumar, Son of Umashankar Prasad, Both resident of Chanpatiya Bazar, P.O.P.S.- Chanpatiya, Dist- West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
3. Deputy Inspector, General of Police, Muzaffarpur Range, Muzaffarpur.
4. The District Magistrate, West Champaran at Bettiah.
5. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, West Champaran at Bettiah.
6. The Circle Officer, Chanpatiya West Champaran.
7. The Officer In-charge, Chanpatiya P.S. West Champaran.
8. Amjad Ali @ Amjad Miyan, Son of Shekh Taiyab @ Taiyab Miyan, null
9. Akhtari Begam, Wife of Maqbul, Both resident of Tikuliya, Station Road, Near Old High School, P.O.P.S.- Chanpatiya, Dist- West Champaran.
10. Ramchandra Yadav, Son of Jokhu Yadav, Resident of village- Basantpur, P.O.- Shivpur, P.S.- Sathi, Dist- West Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Neeraj Kumar Gupta, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar Sinha -Sc19

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-06-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*(i) For a writ in the nature of
Mandamus directing and commanding upon the
respondent authorities to get the delivery of
possession in favour of the petitioners with
respect to land measuring 3 katha 12½ dhur*



*situated at Mauza- Tikuliya within P.O.+ P.S.-
Chanpatiya in Dist- West Champaran
appertaining to Khata No. 165 and Khesra No.
2156.*

*(ii) For a direction to the respondent
authorities to evict the respondents nos. 8, 9 and
10 from the Land of the petitioners as the said
land belongs to the petitioners and the private
respondents have forcibly captured and grabbed
the land of the petitioners and dispossessed
them from their own land.*

*(iii) For a direction to the respondent
authorities to restore the possession of the
aforesaid land in favour of the petitioners who
has been dispossessed forcibly by the private
respondents in violation of the Fundamental
Rights.*

*(iv) For any other relief/ reliefs to
which the petitioners are found entitled in the
facts and circumstances of the case.*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Neeraj
Kumar Gupta prays for and is allowed to approach the
Competent Authority for the redressal of the grievance.

4. The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

