

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12526 of 2016

=====

Nand Kishore Prasad Son of Late Devilal Sah R/o village - Bada Parowa,
Raxaul, Ward No. 17, P.S. Anchal Raxaul, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar,
Patna
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Bihar, Patna
4. The Director, Land Acquisition, Bihar, Patna
5. The Joint Secretary, Department of Home, Bihar, Patna
6. The Collector, Motihari
7. The Land Acquisition officer, Motihari
8. The Union of India through the Ministry of Home Affairs, Govt. of India,
through its Secretary, Nor
9. The Secretary, Border Management Ministry of Home Affairs, Government
of India, North Block, New De

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Mallika Mazumdar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Raj Kishore Roy, GP-18
	:	Mr. Navnit Kumar, AC to GP-18

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 22-07-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the grant
of following relief(s):

*“(a) For directing the respondent
authorities concerned to make payment of the
compensation for the land acquisitioned area 4
Katha 9 Dhur from Khata no.84, Khesra no.372
for the purpose of construction the Bypass road.*

*(b) For directing the Respondent
authorities to hold an enquiry and punish the*



person concerned who has received the compensation amount and also punish the erring officials who connived in doing so.

(c) For grant of any other relief or reliefs for which the petitioner may be found entitled there too.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since under the Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013, the Tribunal has already been constituted, liberty be granted to prefer appropriate petition before it.

4. Learned State counsel has no objection.

5. In that background, if the petitioner prefer a petition within a period of next four weeks, the concerned authority shall see to it and take to its logical conclusion and consider that the petition shall not be dismissed on the ground of limitation, as the matter was pending before the Patna High Court since long.

6. The writ petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

