

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7518 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-193 Year-2024 Thana- UJIYARPUR District- Samastipur

Kundan Kumar S/O Amitabh Kumar Poddar @ Amitabh Poddar Resident of
Village- Samsipur Bhith Ward no 05- Murlitol- PS-Bachhwara, District-
Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bijay Bhushan Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Amitesh Kumar

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

3 20-06-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in
connection with Ujiyarpur P.S. Case No. 193 of 2024 dated
01.08.2024 registered for the offences punishable u/s 30(a) of
the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 378 litres of illicit
foreign liquor was recovered from the Tata-407.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted
that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in
this case. The petitioner is the registered owner but the said
vehicle was sold to the co-accused Kamlesh Sahni. No
incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious



possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount



each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned,
Samastipur in connection with Ujiyarpur P.S. Case No. 193 of
2024, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of
the B.N.S.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

