

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15659 of 2017

-
-
1. Kumud Ranjan and Anr Son of Late Harinandan Yadav, resident of Village- Saligram Police Station- Sahebpur Kamal, District- Begusarai.
 2. Chaturanand Chandan alias Chaturanand Yadav, Son of Late Harinandan Yadav, resident of Village- Saligram Police Station- Sahebpur Kamal, District- Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Collector, Begusarai.
3. The Land Acquisition Officer, Begusarai.
4. Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. Director of Pariyojna National Highway Authority of India, Begusarai.
6. National Highway Authority of India, G-5-6, Sector 10 Dawarika, Delhi- 110075.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mrs. Nutan Sahay, AC to AAG-12

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 06-05-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though

Mrs. Nutan Sahay, learned AC to AAG-12 is present.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for the

following relief(s):

*“ for issuance of writ in the nature of
certiorari to quash the notice issued by the
Respondent no. 3 who is not competent authority
under the law by which the land of petitioners were
acquired for Respondent No. 5 and 6 but no notices*



for acquisition was issued by the Respondent and without following the provision of Land Acquisition Act (herein referred as Act) and the Respondent has not taken any step after filing the objection nor any order was communicated so far the objection is concerned. The Respondents have not taken any step for award in question and/or no other appropriate writ/writs which the petitioners are entitled and further directed to issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing to Respondent not to take possession over the land in question where the Pakka house and several trees are standing over the land in question. No any enquiry was made and false report was submitted without verification of that locality nor any notice was issued of that locality. The petitioners are in possession of the land from the date of the purchase without considering the objection the acquisition order has been passed in most arbitrary manner, save and except in accordance with law.”

3. Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner



has rushed to the Court only on the notice issued by the Land Acquisition Officer, Begusarai without responding to it and satisfying the respondent.

4. Be that as it may, since there is no appearance, the writ petition stands dismissed for non prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

