

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15236 of 2017

1. Rajiv Ranjan and Anr son of Late Naresh Mandal
2. Om Prakash Mandal son of Late Naresh Mandal Both residents of Village-Tintanga, Karari, P.S.- Gopalpur, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Bhagalpur Division, Bhagalpur.
3. The Collector cum District Magistrate, Bhagalpur.
4. The Additional Collector, Bhagalpur.
5. The Sub Divisional Officer, Naugacchiya, District- Bhagalpur.
6. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Naugachhiya, District- Bhagalpur.
7. Girdhari Paswan son of Kutay Paswan.
8. Sarandhar Paswan, son of Sri Biranchi Paswan.
9. Nachachhatar Paswan son of Late Munni Paswan
10. Upendra Paswan son of Late Munni Paswan
11. Ashok Paswan son of Late Munni Paswan
12. Biranchi Paswan son of Vaishakhi Paswan null
13. Bindeshwari Paswan son of Bhooti Paswan
14. Banarsi Mandal, son of Late Harchandi Mandal,
15. Saryug Baitha son of Late Sukru Baitha, 7 to 15 resident of Village-Tentanga, Karari, P.S.- Gopalpur, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rana Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sajid Salim Khan-SC-25

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 05-05-2025 Heard Mr. Rishab Choudhary, learned counsel for the

petitioner and the State.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for the

following relief(s):

“i. to issue an appropriate order/orders,



direction/directions including a writ preferably in the nature of MANDAMUS commanding and directing upon the Respondents no.-6 D.C.L.R. Naugacchiya to expediate the hearing of Parcha Cancellation Case No. 01 of 2015-16.

ii. To direct the D.C.L.R. Naugacchiya to cancel the Parwana (Parcha) issued to the private respondent s with respect to the lands bearing Plot No. 4449 appertaining to Khata No. 1672 of Mauza Tintanga in the District of Bhagalpur.

iii. To and any other relief to which the petitioners are entitled in facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. It is unfortunate that even to expedite a case, in this case, the Parcha Cancellation Case No. 01 of 2015-16 pending before the respondent no. 6, the Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Naugacchiya, Bhagalpur, the petitioners have to invoke writ jurisdiction.

4. No counter affidavit is on record despite the writ petition was filed in the year 2017.

5. However, learned State counsel submits that if the same is still pending, it will be taken to its logical conclusion in



three months.

6. The Court is afraid, if the same is not been concluded in last ten years, it is an unfortunate state of affairs.

7. However, since there is no reply of the respondent, accepting the State version, the writ petition stands disposed of directing the concerned respondent to immediately take the Parcha Cancellation Case No. 01 of 2015-2016 to its logical conclusion if not concluded and in no case, beyond three months.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

