

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8479 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-43 Year-2024 Thana- TELMAR District- Nalanda

Vikku Kumar S/O Raju Singh @ Rajo Singh Resident of Village- Monipur,
P.S.- Nagarnausha, District- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Mohit Agarwal, Advocate
	Mr. Lokesh Kumar, Advocate
	Mr. Vikash Khanna, Advocate
	Mr. Aman Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Ram Sumiran Rai, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 14-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Telmar
P.S. Case No. 43 of 2024, instituted for the offences punishable
under Section 394 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of
the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that, some
unknown miscreants allegedly robbed informant's brother-in-
law of Rs. 1 lakh at gun point, fired upon the informant due to
which he sustained injury and in the meantime, the miscreants
fled away from the spot.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the



present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of confessional statement made by co-accused person and the same has got no evidentiary value. It is next submitted that neither any recovery of looted cash has been made from the possession of the petitioner nor any T.I. parade has been conducted in this case. No specific allegation has been attributed against the petitioner, rather the same is general and omnibus in nature. The petitioner is in custody since 31.07.2024 and has got three criminal antecedents in which he is on bail in one case. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that similarly situated co-accused has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 28.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 4717 of 2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.



7. Let the petitioner be released on bail *after framing of charge, if not already framed* on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Telmar P.S. Case No. 43 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

