

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9832 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-40 Year-2024 Thana- SAHEBPUR KAMAL District- Begusarai

Himanshu Kumar @ Manu Singh @ Himanshu Kuma S/o- Sanjay Yadav
Resident of village- Sahepur Kamal Gobindpur P.S- Sahepur Kamal District-
Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sarvottam Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Meena Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

4 07-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 302, 304(B), 498(A), 120(B), 34 of the I.P.C. & Section 27 of the Arms Act and $\frac{3}{4}$ of the Domestic Prohibition Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the sister of the informant was in relationship with Himanshu Kumar and had married in a temple and thereafter, remained at the informant's place. Later, the family started demanding dowry. In between, the marriage of the elder brother of Himanshu (Sudhanshu) was fixed and then the informant decided that the couple should move to the place.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. It is also submitted that the informant is not an eye-witness



to the alleged occurrence nor he was present at the place of occurrence. It is further submitted that the petitioner has clean antecedent and is languishing in judicial custody since 21.03.2024.

5. Learned APP appearing for the state opposes the prayer for bail and submits that there is specific allegation of firing upon the informant's father by the petitioner namely, Himanshu Kumar @ Manu Singh. He also submits that besides direct allegation against the aforesaid accused persons namely, Sanjay Yadav, Himanshu Kumar, Sudhanshu, Samrat and Jubesh, the allegation of firing is also against these accused persons including the petitioner and the post-mortem report certifies to it.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account that the trial is almost at the fag end, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly the prayer for bail application is rejected.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

