

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.199 of 2022

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20588 of 2019

=====

Vijay Prasad Rai Son of Sri Rajendra Prasad Rai, Resident of Village -
Tiswara, P.O. - Sarangpur, P.S. - Tajpur, District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Mr. Anjani Kumar, the Principal Secretary,
Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Mr. Yogendra Singh, the District Magistrate, Samastipur.
3. Mr. Madan Rai, the District Education Officer, Samastipur.
4. Mr. Suman Sharma, the District Programme Officer (Establishment),
Samastipur.
5. Mr. Jayshree, the Block Education Officer, Morwan, Samastipur.
6. Mr. Shree Subhash Kumar, the Treasury Officer, Samastipur.
7. Mr. Ram Avtar Sharma, the Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Kr. Kumar Praveen, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shankar Kr. Thakur, AC to GP-27

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 31-10-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The instant application has been filed for initiation
of a contempt proceeding against the opposite parties for their
willful defiance of the order of this Court dated 06.09.2021
passed in C.W.J.C. No.20588 of 2019, whereby the learned
Court has disposed off the writ petition with a direction to the
District Programme Officer (Establishment), Samastipur to
consider and dispose of the representation dated 23.01.2021
filed by the petitioner within a period of twelve weeks.



3. A show-cause affidavit has been filed bringing on record the order passed by the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Samastipur as contained in Memo No.1516 dated 29.03.2022.

4. It has been informed to this Court that since the petitioner had failed to produce the necessary document(s) to support the averment regarding deposit of due advance amount to the tune of Rs.31,481/-, besides some other documents, 'No Dues Certificate' could not be issued leading to non-payment of pensionary benefit(s).

5. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that after passing of the order, afore-noted, the petitioner has submitted all the necessary documents besides the evidences showing that complete charges have also been handed over to the Headmaster of the school. It has also been informed that a sanctioned letter has also been issued in favour of the petitioner for payment of all the pensionary benefits to the tune of Rs.24,60,418/-. Despite the aforesaid fact, till date payment has not been done.

6. Having considered the submissions advanced by the learned Advocate for the respective parties and taking note of subsequent development, this Court deems it fit and proper to



dispose off the contempt petition with hope and expectation that all the concerned authorities shall take sincere efforts to ensure payment of due pensionary benefit(s) to the petitioner expeditiously.

7. The contempt petition stands disposed off.

(Harish Kumar, J)

rohit/-

U			
---	--	--	--

