

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14594 of 2017

Ahmadi Khatun W/o Sahidul Ansari Resident of Village- Shibaul, P.S. Patauna, Block- Bisphy, District- Madhubani, State- Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Darbhanga.
3. The District Magistrate, Madhubani, Bihar.
4. The Superintendent of Police, Madhubani, Bihar.
5. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Benipatti, Madhubani.
6. The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Benipatti, Madhubani, Bihar.
7. The Circle Officer, Benipatti, Madhubani, Bihar.
8. The Statiobn Incharge, Bisfi, Benipatti, Madhubani, Bihar.
9. Md. Mustafa S/o late Md. Latri @ Md. Latiff Resident of Village- Shibaul, P.S. Patauna, Block- Bisfi, District- Madhubani, State- Bihar.
10. Md. Nausad S/o Md. Mustafa Resident of Village- Shibaul, P.S. Patauna, Block- Bisfi, District- Madhubani, State- Bihar.
11. Md. Aslam Pesar S/o- Md. Mustafa Resident of Village- Shibaul, P.S. Patauna, Block- Bisfi, District- Madhubani, State- Bihar.
12. Smt. Sayara Khatun W/o Md. Mustafa Resident of Village- Shibaul, P.S. Patauna, Block- Bisfi, District- Madhubani, State- Bihar.
13. Chotu Kuraisi S/o Sallah Kuraist Resident of Village- Shibaul, P.S. Patauna, Block- Bisfi, District- Madhubani, State- Bihar.
14. Smt. Hasbulan Khatun W/o Chotu Kuraisi Resident of Village- Shibaul, P.S. Patauna, Block- Bisfi, District- Madhubani, State- Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rama Kant Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr.S.C. Yadav - Gp15

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 02-09-2025 Heard Mr. Kumar Shankaram, learned counsel for the

petitioners and Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, G.P.-14.

2. The present writ application has been filed for

directing the respondents authorities to take appropriate action



against the private respondents who have still taken illegal possession on the ancestral land of the petitioner in spite of demarcation conducted by the concerned authorities in the light of the order dated 14.09.12 passed by DCLR, Bennipatti in case no-34/12-13 and also for a direction to the respondent authorities to remove the illegal possession of the private respondents from the ancestral land of the petitioner in the light of aforesaid order.

3. At the very outset, learned counsel for the State submits that the grievance that the petitioner has, she should have moved before the appropriate authority/court as it is against the private Respondents.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner after some arguments submits that though he repeatedly approached Respondent No. 6 (the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Benipatti, Madhubani), it remained unresponded.

5. In that background considering the submissions of the parties, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file a fresh petition before the Respondent No. 6 (the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Benipatti, Madhubani) and if it is filed, after noticing, he shall be taking a decision in the matter.

6. This writ application is disposed of with the



aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Saif/-

U			
---	--	--	--

