

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12806 of 2017

-
-
1. Sarshwati Kuar and Anr wife of late Awadhesh Singh
 2. Nitesh Kumar @ Nitesh Kumar Singh son of Late Awdhesh Singh Both residents of Village - Darauli, P.S. - Durgawati, Anchal - Durgawati, P.S. - Durgawati, District - Kaimur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar Land Tribunal, Through Its Chairman and Ors
2. The Director of Consolidation, Bihar at Patna.
3. The Deputy Director of Consolidation, Rohtas, District - Rohtas.
4. The Consolidation Officer, Durgawati, P.S. - Durgawati, District - Kaimur.
5. Arbind Singh son of Late Munna Singh resident of Village - Darauli, P.S. - Durgawati, District - Kaimur.
6. The Circle Officer, Durgawati, P.S. - Durgawati, District - Kaimur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.R. K. Ray - Gp18

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 28-04-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though the State is present.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief/s:

(i) for setting aside the order dated 12.01.2017 passed by the Hon'ble Chairman, Bihar Land Tribunal. Patna in B.LT. Case No.697 of 2017 by which the order passed by the Director of Consolidation, Patna in Revisional Case



No. 182/1996 as well as order dated 03.09.1996 passed by the Assistant Director and Consolidation, Bihar, Patna in Appeal No. 15/1991-92 has been set aside and further direction has been given to the Consolidation Officer, Durgawati to enter the name of the private respondent no-5 with respect to the 32 decimal of land situated in village Daroli, Thana No 110, Anchal - Durgawati, District - Kaimur, disputed land has been carved out from CS Khata No. 18, C.S. Plot No. 339, measuring 85 decimal (herein after referred as "disputed land" for the sake of brevity); on the ground that the Chairman, B.L.T. has failed appreciate that order passed by the Consolidation Officer was an ex-party order, the Revisional Survey Khatiyan has been prepared in 1970 and the case has brought by the private respondent in the year 1989, also on the ground that the petitioners and the private respondent have



no relation, further the tribunal has no power to certify the genuineness of the sale deed of the year 1942;

(ii) for any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner is entitled for.

3. In view of non-appearance, the writ petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

