

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7840 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-413 Year-2024 Thana- KOILWAR District- Bhojpur

=====

Munna Kumar S/o- Sri Sudama Paswan R/O-Ward no-11 Suraudha Colony
Po Ps- Koilwar District- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sanjay Jha, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Pranav Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 30-04-2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 308(4), 118(1)(2), 109, 351(2)(3) and 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, informant, namely Raju Ranjan Choudhary, alleged that on 15.05.2024, his father, namely Ram Dayal Chaudhary, was shot by two unknown assailants at his tobacco kiosk. It is alleged that on 08.10.2024, his father had received a threat call demanding Rs. 5,00,000/-



from mobile number 9162302106.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner is not named in the F.I.R.. Name of petitioner transpired during course of investigation, on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused who is none else than brother of this petitioner. It is further submitted the save and except confessional statement, there is no material on record to show the complicity of this petitioner in the alleged occurrence. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and submitted that during course of investigation, the victim has stated that he has seen the assailants and both of them were talking to each other and revealed their names as Raushan Kumar and Rohit Kumar. It is further submitted that Raushan Kumar has admitted that his brother, i.e. this petitioner, used to commit crime by the mobile phone. The said mobile phone was eventually recovered from another co-accused person.

6. Considering the nature of accusation and material



that has surfaced during course of investigation, the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

