

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6567 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-430 Year-2024 Thana- BRAHMPUR District- Buxar

1. Munna Choudhary @ Munna Chouhan S/o- Late Sukhdeo Choudhary Resident of village-Ramgarh, Ps- Agrer Dist- Rohtas
2. Krishna Kumar @ Krishna Chouhan S/o- Munna Choudhary @ Munna Chouhan Resident of village-Ramgarh, Ps- Agrer Dist- Rohtas
3. Haridwar Kumar @ Haridwar Chouhan S/o- Munna Choudhary @ Munna Chouhan Resident of village-Ramgarh, Ps- Agrer Dist- Rohtas
4. Baiju Kumar S/o- Munna Choudhary @ Munna Chouhan Resident of village-Ramgarh, Ps- Agrer Dist- Rohtas
5. Kanhaiya Kumar @ Kanhaiya Chouhan S/o- Munna Choudhary @ Munna Chouhan Resident of village-Ramgarh, Ps- Agrer Dist- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arun Kumar Gupta
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nagendra Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 03-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in Brahmpur P.S. Case No. 430/2024, registered for the offence under Sections 80, 238, 61(2) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per prosecution case, the marriage of informant's daughter was solemnized with petitioner no. 4 on 11.06.2023 and at the time of *Bidai*, the petitioners demanded one Apache motorcycle and refused to take her *Bidai*, on which, informant told to fulfill their demand within 4 months and thereafter, accused-petitioners took her *Bidai*. It is further alleged that after the marriage, the accused persons started



torturing her for Apache motorcycle, on which, informant and other went there to pacify them, but accursed persons did not listen to informant and threatened to kill his daughter and lastly, on 03.05.2024, they killed her.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioner no. 1 is father-in-law, petitioner no. 2 & 5 are *Bhaisur*, petitioner no. 3 is *Dewar* and petitioner no. 4 is husband of the deceased. He further submits that when the deceased became pregnant, she went to her parents' house and during delivery, condition of the deceased deteriorated and while being taken to hospital, she died.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition and submitted that there is specific and direct allegation against these petitioners of committing torture to deceased for dowry. Deceased died within seven years of marriage at her matrimonial house in an unnatural circumstances.

6. Considering the same, the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioners is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

