

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7409 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-678 Year-2021 Thana- ARA NAGAR District- Bhojpur

=====

Doman Yadav @ Teju Yadav S/O Bisheshwar Yadav R/O Mohalla- Nehru
Nagar, P.S- Ara Town, Distt.- Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rupesh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Lalan Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

8 19-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Ara
Town P.S. Case No. 678/2021 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 302, 34, 120(B) of the Indian Penal
Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, petitioner and others
started indiscriminate firing upon the informant's father due to
which informant's father died.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged
in the FIR. The petitioner is in custody since 05.10.2021 and
near about four years have already been elapsed. The petitioner
bears five criminal antecedents. Earlier prayer for bail of the



petitioner has already been rejected on merit vide order dated 24.01.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 39710 of 2022. He further submits that reason behind the occurrence is commercial rivalry between Ganesh Yadav and the deceased and the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner by submitting through counter affidavit that all the prosecution witnesses have been examined and the case is running on defence witnesses. He further submits that earlier prayer for bail of the present petitioner has already been rejected on merit vide order dated 24.01.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 39710 of 2022. He further submits that the petitioner and others caused serious injury to the informant's father as a result of which informant's father died. He further submits that the informant has specifically identified the petitioner and co-accused Dabal Yadav and statement of Ayush Kumar under Section 164 Cr.P.C. is quite evident with regard to the said allegation and the postmortem report indicates 11 injuries on the body of the deceased which supports the allegation levelled against the petitioner in the FIR.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation, coupled with the postmortem report



and materials available on record and earlier prayer for bail of the petitioner has already been rejected on merit, I am not inclined to grant bail to the present petitioner. Accordingly, prayer for bail of petitioner is hereby rejected.

7. The trial court is directed to expedite the trial as early as possible.

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

amitkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

